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Comments to Author:
I appreciate the efforts made by the authors in addressing the comments and suggestions from the previous round of review. The revisions have indeed led to a more polished and coherent presentation of the research. However, despite the authors' commendable work on this revised manuscript, I continue to harbor reservations concerning its suitability for publication. I acknowledge that the authors have made efforts to provide clarity and detail, but I am uncertain if the content and its implications provide significant value to the readership of this journal. Although the study design and methodology are sound, the practical implications and the generalizability of the results are limited. I am not fully convinced that the manuscript in its current form has a robust application in practice. This limitation could potentially restrict the readership from drawing meaningful insights from this study. In light of these concerns, while recognizing the time and effort the authors have dedicated to this work, my assessment leads me to believe that this manuscript might not contribute significantly to the existing body of literature in the context of our journal. My intent is not to diminish the work of the authors but rather to ensure the high standards and reputation of our journal are upheld. As always, this opinion is submitted respectfully and is intended to support the critical decision-making process you oversee.
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General comments to the Authors
I appreciate the efforts made by the authors in addressing the comments and suggestions from the previous round of review. The revisions have indeed led to a more polished and coherent presentation of the research. However, despite the authors' commendable work on this revised manuscript, I continue to harbor reservations concerning its suitability for publication. I acknowledge that the authors have made efforts to provide clarity and detail, but I am uncertain if the content and its implications provide significant value to the readership of this journal. Although the study design and methodology are sound, the practical implications and the generalizability of the results are limited. I am not fully convinced that the manuscript in its current form has a robust application in practice. This limitation could potentially restrict the readership from drawing meaningful insights from this study. In light of these concerns, while recognizing the time and effort the authors have dedicated to this work, my
assessment leads me to believe that this manuscript might not contribute significantly to the existing body of literature in the context of our journal.

My intent is not to diminish the work of the authors but rather to ensure the high standards and reputation of are upheld. As always, this opinion is submitted respectfully and is intended to support the critical decision-making process you oversee.